Previous references.
2 May 05 Sls 1-14. Fl No 15-04 / Legis
13 May 05

Later references.

1905.

(III Legis)

File No. 9 - 05

Serial Nos. 1 — 12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 12

64

Part B. for December 05

SUBJECT.

Separate forms of license for Shooting small and big game.

P T O

G. R. S. 58

FILE No. 9 of 1905-06.

Separate forms of license for shooting small and big game.

1 From Captain W. S. Traill, R. E., Superintending Engineer, Western Circle, letter No. 2978, dated 28th June 1905.

States that there is no necessity for any attention in the nature of license for the whole State, as the number of sportsmen requiring license for small game only for the whole State is very small, and adds that under the existing game laws snipe are not game.

2 From the District Magistrate of Shimoga, letter No. 1061—749, dated 28th June 1905.

States that it would be desirable to have two forms of licenses for small and big game as suggested by Mr. Carr with Rs. 10 and Rs. 30, respectively as license fees.

3 From the District Magistrate of Mysore, letter No. 13, dated 6th July 1905.

States that he is not in favor, raising the present license fees and makes certain new suggestions.

4 From the Survey Commissioner in Mysore, letter No. 15, dated 22nd July 1905.

States that he fully agrees with Mr. Carr, but that he would make the fees, Rs. 5 and Rs. 20, instead of Rs. 10 and Rs. 30, respectively, for small and big game.

5 From the District Magistrate of Tumkur, letter No. 98, dated 29th July 1905.

States that he is not in favor of any change in the form of license or the rate of fees, as the present rates are very reasonable.

6 From the Honorary Secretary, Game and Fish Preservation Association, Saklespur.

States that the association is in favor of Mr. Carr's suggestion provided that it does not interfere with the present rule *in re* licenses of Rs. 5 to shoot in each district and points out that 'snipe' are not game.

7 From the District Magistrate of Kadur, letter No. 98, dated 10th August 1905.

States that there should be two license forms with a fee of Rs. 10 and Rs. 30, respectively for small and big game, for the whole State.

8 From the District Magistrate of Kolar, letter No. 1039-93, dated 12th August 1905.

Concurs with Mr. Carr's proposal as regards the introduction of two forms of licenses with the fixed fees of Rs. 10 and Rs. 30, respectively for the small and big game for the whole State, and adds that he is not in favor of the same distinction in the case of local licenses.

9 From the District Magistrate of Chitaldrug, letter No. 140, dated 29th August 1905.

States that two separate licenses may be introduced but that the license fee of Rs. 30 for big game may be reduced to Rs. 20.

10 From the District Magistrate of Hassan, letter No. 279-S, dated 9th September 1905.

States that the Assistant Commissioners and the Police Assistant Superintendent are in favor of Mr. Carr's proposal and that the Honorary Secretary of the Game and Fish Preservation Association holds the same opinion provided it does not interfere with the existing rule of a fee of Rs. 5 for shooting in each district and that the District Forest Officer thinks otherwise on the ground that sportsmen in their enthusiasm, are not likely to discriminate between small and big game according to rules when they come across them during their excursions and concludes with certain suggestions stating his own opinion that the proposed fees may be adopted if the two forms of license are applicable only to those who apply for game license for the whole State and if the existing single form of license on a fee of Rs. 5 in each district is not affected by Mr. Carr's suggestion.

11 From the District Magistrate of Bangalore, letter No. S. 311, dated 30th September 1905.

States that two forms of licenses may be introduced after clearly defining what are small and what are big games and suggests that the fees may be fixed at Rs. 5 and Rs. 15 for small and big game, respectively, in the districts and Rs. 10 and Rs. 25 for the whole State.

12 To the Inspector-General of Police, all the District Magistrates, the Conservator of Forests, the Honorary Secretary, Game and Fish Preservation Association, Col. J. P. Grant, Survey and Settlement Commissioner and Captain W. S. Traill, R. E Superintending Engineer, W. C., G. O. No. J.-1700-12 –Legis. 9-12, dated the 18th December 1905.

Observes that the existing system of issuing licenses for shooting game in a district and throughout the State and the different rates of fees prescribed therefor, do not require any modification.

Legis. File 15 of 1904, Sl. 1-14, B. May 19

G. R. S. 11] 1905.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Legislation)

NOTES.

File. No 9 of 05

FILE HEADING.

Separate forms of license for shooting small and big game.

From

Captain W. S. Trail R.E Superintending Engineer Western Circle

No. 2978 Dated 28. 6. 05 Received 30. 6. 05

Diary No. 92690	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that there is no necessity for any alteration in the nature of license for the whole State as the number of sportsmen requiring license for small game only for the whole State is very small & adds that under the existing game laws snipe are not game.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Y recd
flag V

B Recd
26/12/05

This is in reply to Govt: Pro: no: 3328–40/ Legis. 15–14 dated the 20th May 1905.

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05.

2

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 9 of 1905

Serial No.

From

2 The District Magistrate of Shimoga.

Letter no: 1061/749 dated 28-6-05

States that it would be desirable to have two forms of licenses as suggested by Mr Carr with Rs 10 & Rs 30/ as license fees.

From

3 The Dist: Magt: of Mysore.

Letter no: 13 dated 6.7.05.

States that he is not in favour of raising the present license fees & makes certain new suggestions as regards the levying of fees.

From

4 The Survey Commissioner in Mysore.

Letter no: 15 dated 22.7.05.

States that he fully agrees with Mr Carr, but that he would make the fees Rs 5/ and Rs 20/, instead of Rs 10/ and Rs 30/ respectively for small and big game.

From

5 The Dist: Magst: of Tumkur

Letter no: 98 dated 29.7.05

States that he is not in favour of any change in the form of license or the rate of fees, as the present rates are very reasonable.

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 9 of 1905

Serial No. 6 From the Honary Secy Game [illegible] No. 68 31/7/05

7 — The District Magistrate of Kadur
Letter no: 98 dated 10. 8. 05

states that there should be two license forms with a fee of Rs 10 and Rs 30/ respectively for small and big game, for the whole state.

8 From
The Dist: Magst: of Kolar.
Letter no: 1309/93 dated 12.8.05

concurs with Mr Carr's proposal as regards the introduction of two forms of licenses with the fixed fees of Rs 10/ and Rs 30/ respectively for the small and big game for the whole state, and adds that he is not in favour of the same distinction in the case of local licenses.

9 From
The Dist: Magst: of Chitaldrug
Letter no: 140 dated 29.8.05

States that two separate licenses may be introduced but that the license fee of Rs 30/ for big game may be reduced to Rs 20/

10 ~~9~~ From
The Dist: Magistrate of Hassan.
Letter no: 279/S dated 9.9.05

States that the Asst: Commissioners of the Police Asst: Supdt: are in favour of Mr Carr's proposal and

Note—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

that the Hony. Secy. of the Game & Fish Preservation Association holds the same opinion provided it does not interfere with the existing rule of a fee of Rs 5/- for Shooting in each District and that the District Forest officer thinks otherwise on the ground that sportsmen in their enthusiasm, are not likely to discriminate between small and big game according to rules when they come across them during their excursions and concludes with certain suggestions stating his own opinion that the proposed fees may be adopted if the two forms of license are applicable only to those who apply for game license for the whole state and if the existing single form of license on a fee of Rs 5/- in each District is not affected by Mr Baun's suggestion.

10 From
The District Magist: of Bangalore.
Letter no: S. no: 311 dated 30.9.05.

States that two forms of license may be introduced after clearly defining what are small and what are big games and suggests that the fees may be fixed at Rs 5 and

Serial No.

Rs15/- for small and big game respectively in the Districts and Rs 10/- and Rs.25/- for the whole state

× Serial nos. 2, 6, 7, 8 9 & 4.

Subd: The District Magistrates of ×Shimoga Kadur, Kolar, Chitaldrug, Hassan and the Survey Commissioner are in favour of Mr Carr's suggestion, though some of them suggest that the fees may be fixed less than Rs 10/- & Rs 30/- for small & big game respectively.

× Serial Nos. 1, 3 & 5.

×Captain Trail and the Dist: Magistrates of Mysore ~~and~~ Tumkur are not in favour of introducing two separate forms of license for small and big game as suggested by Mr Carr. The same view is held by the ×District Forest Officer of Hassan on the ground that sportsmen coming across small and big game simultaneously or at different times are not likely to shoot at one and leave the other in their enthusiasm.

× Vide Hassan Dist: Magistrate's letter - Flag Y

The Dist Magistrate of Hassan concurs with Mr Carr's suggestion provided that it does not affect the existing single game license. the fee of which he is not in favour of raising from the present rate of Rs 5/- as it would be a hardship on genuine sportsmen of small means intending to shoot in more than one District and as it would also

Serial No.

tend to surreptitious shooting on the part of many owners of guns. He further states that if special watchmen are entertained to be paid for from the realisations of the license fees, he would prefer to raise the general license fees for the whole State rather than the District license fee of Rs 5/-.

× Vide Arms Rules, flag W.

× vide flag V.

The same officer states that as the game license fees are required to be recovered in the shape of ×"impressed stamps", it seems doubtful to him whether the fee of Rs 5/- for each additional District may be received in cash, (vide clause (c), para 7, of the Govt. Pro: ×No: 3328-40 / Legis 15-14 dated the 20th May 1905, under reference).

Subd: for orders.

CRD
13.10.05 15.10.05

Refce incomplete. Put up Game Regn & Rules

17.10.05

The Regulation & Rules are put up

RO
20/10/05

SN
21/10/05

RS

Where is the separate opinion of the Game Protn Assocn. If received, put up. If not, call for it.

24.10.05

Subd

The opinion to put up

HZ

MS
24/10/05

25/10/05

Serial No.

really, but merely to differentiate the rates of fees to suit different cases; and moreover, we have to consider not only the case of European sportsmen but also that of native shikaris and professional vendors of game for whom small game is generally the object of pursuit. The need for such a differentiation is, it is believed, from the tenor of his remarks, recognised also by the District Magistrate of Mysore, though his comments are chiefly directed to the present scale of fees and the procedure for countersignature.

4 Government will probably accept the principle of the suggestion,--which has been concurred in by the majority of those consulted,--that a distinction should be made in the rates of fees for provincial licenses according as they cover the pursuit of "small" or "big" game. It is less easy to decide what those rates should be. Col. Grant, whose experience in these matters is probably unique, would fix them at Rs.5 and Rs.20, respectively, and would do away with licenses that do not operate throughout the State. He thinks that a reduction of the fees as above and the extension of the operation of the licenses to the whole of Mysore territory will reconcile sportsmen to taking out licenses which they now regard "as an intolerable nuisance." On the other hand, the Mysore Game and Fish Preservation Association through their Honorary Secretary, Mr. Thomas Hunt, express themselves in favor of the proposed rates of Rs.10 and Rs.30 for small game and large game licenses respectively, and insist at the same time

Z

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 5 of 1905

Serial No.

that the present five rupee District licenses
should not in any way be interfered with. This
is likewise the view taken by the District Magis-
Sl nos 7 + 9✗ trates✗ of Kolar and Hassan and apparently by that
Sl no 2⊕ of Shimoga⊕. The District Magistrate of Chital-
8 droog✗ is for having a reduced fee of Rs.20 for
shooting big game. The District Magistrates of
6 + 10 Kadur and Bangalore would introduce the distinc-
tion under advertance not only into provincial
licenses, but into District licenses as well.
This last proposal would, however, tend to com-
plicate matters unnecessarily, and cannot there-
4✗ fore be accepted. Col. Grant's proposal has, at
any rate, the merit of ensuring simplicity and general
convenience and if the scheme of game preserva-
tion in Mysore had to be constructed for the
first time, it is very probable that that pro-
posal would ~~meet~~ have met with very favourable consider-
ation. But the fact that [redacted] sportsmen have for
the last 3½ years been enjoying the privilege of
obtaining District licenses not operating in
forest lands, on payment of the comparatively low
fee of Rs.5, renders it doubtful whether the bulk
of them would appreciate a change which, while
simplifying and rendering more uniform the proce-
dure for obtaining licenses, and allowing of pro-
vincial licenses being issued for a reduced fee,
would nevertheless necessitate persons with limit-
ed means, content to pursue big game within the
limits of single Districts, paying somewhat more
than they have to pay under the existing rules.
For the present, therefore, and till there is a distinct

Note—Contn. sheet.

Serial No

public opinion on the subject, the existing provision for the separate issue of district and provincial licenses may remain unaltered; but provincial licenses may be differentiated into those for shooting small game and big game, the fees being fixed at Rs.10 for the former and Rs.30 for the latter. The countersignature required for a provincial license of either kind whether operating over State Forests or otherwise, may, to avoid delay, be limited to that by the Conservator of Forests.

5 As regards the classification of game into "small"aand "big", it may be observed that bison, sambhur, antelope, ibex, jungle sheep and all varieties of deer may be placed under the latter category, while hare and all descriptions of feathered game fall naturally under the former. Here I may remark, anent the inclusion of "snipe" among small game in para 8 of the order of the 20th May 1905, that that para merely alluded to Mr. Carr's own proposals, and that, as has been quite correctly pointed out by Captain Traill and others snipe has not yet been included under game. In fact it was purposely excluded , as being a migratory bird which does not need protection.

H V P

6 Certain Deputy Commissioners and others have raised incidentally questions of minor importance these will be considered, if made the subject of separate references.

7 If the views expressed in the above note meet with acceptance, the necessary drafts will be

Serial No.

prepared, including a notification amending the rules suitably.

See 4)

V. Chandrasekhara
26-10-05.

Submitted to the Dewan Saheb thro the 1st Councillor. The present practice of District license seems to be preferable so far as I have worked the rules

28 10 1905

Ly/

628
28-10-05

The present distinction between District License & Provincial Licenses may continue - as regards the latter small game may be differentiated from big game the fee being fixed at Rs 10 & 30 respectively. I agree with the views expressed in paras 4 5 & 6 of the Dy Secretary's note

29-10-05.

9361
1-11-05

30/10/1905 Ly/

Consult the IInd Councillor also

1.XI.05

To the Regr for circulation

4.11.1905

Ly/

4-11-05

Serial No.

There seems to be no necessity to do away with the distinction between District and Provincial licenses.

I cannot support Mr Cross's proposal that a differentiation should be made between small and big game. It would be far from easy to effectually enforce any rule in this direction in as much as it would be impossible for a sportsman who has taken out a license for shooting small game to resist the temptation or to miss the opportunity of bagging a big game that may, as it so often happens suddenly come across when

Extract from the Proceedings of the 120th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the 24th Novr 1905.

II **Subject**--(Clause VIII of the Schedule.)

Whether Separate licenses for Shooting game in a District and throughout the State may Continue to be issued as at present, and whether the latter kind of licenses may be differentiated into those for Shooting small and big game, the fee for the former being Rs 10/ and for the latter Rs. 30/.. –

Opinions of the Dewan and Councillors.

After full consideration of the Subject, the Council are of opinion that the existing rates and licenses do not require any modification.

(Signed) P. N. Krishna Murti
Dewan of Mysore

For Secretary

29-11-05

Submitted to His Highness.

V
(last para of 40)

The discussion contained in this file arose out of Mr. Carr's proposal that the existing distinction between the District and Provincial Game Licenses be abolished and Provincial Licenses for small or big game be instituted.

V

2. The Deputy Commissioners and others interested in game were consulted with the result that a majority of them have given their opinion in favour of the proposal, though on minor details they have differed from one another.

3. Nevertheless, the discussion on record has not touched a few most important objections that may be urged against the proposal. In the first place, the proposal would necessitate persons with limited means, content to pursue big game within the limits of a District, paying more than they would have to pay under the existing rules. In the second place, it would almost be impossible to exercise anything like effective check over the operation of the suggested rule. At present it is only necessary to examine whether a sportsman possesses a license: but according to the proposed rule, it would be necessary to examine the game secured by the sportsmen. A special establishment probably costing much more than the sum realizable from game licenses would be necessary for working the proposal. The natural instinct of a keen sportsman not to miss big game

coming in his way, would be too strong to be resisted by the circumstance that he holds only a license for small game. A wide door for fraud would, in many cases, be thus opened by the alteration indicated.

para 10 of office note

4. The First Councillor at first thought that the suggestion might be adopted with certain modifications; but when the matter was considered in Council, a unanimous opinion against the proposal was arrived at. The existing system of licenses may, therefore, continue.

6 - XII. 05.

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja

406

7.12.05
405

Subject.

Continuance of the existing system of issuing licenses for shooting game in a District an throughout the State, and of the rates of fees prescribed therefor.

DA 12.12.05
N. 384

Order.

KRW
11/12/05

Order as [illegible]
[illegible]
12.XII.05

Draft approved
17-12 / 05

[illegible]
13-12-05

1 92690

No: 2948 Office of the Superintending Engineer

Western Circle.

Dated Bangalore, 28th June 1905.
29

F. no: 9 9/05
S. no: 1

From,

Captain, W.S.Traill, R.E
Superintending Engineer,
Western Circle.

To,

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar Esquire,
Secretary to Government of H.H.The Maharaja
of Mysore., General & Revenue Deptt

Sir,

[illegible] Legis
30.6.05

With reference to final para of Government Proceedings No:3328-40/Legis.15-14 dated 20th May 1905, I have the honor to state that, in my opinion no alteration is necessary in the nature of license for the whole state. The number of sportsmen requiring a license for smal game only for the whole State is very small; in fact I know of no one likely to want such a license except Mr. Carr himself and it hardly seems worth complicating forms to benefit a few individuals. I would call attention to what appears to me to be a mistake in this paragraph line8 reads: "small game, such as snipe, duck, &c".

As far as I know, under the existing game laws snipe are not game and no license is required to shoot them. I may be wrong but I know this is the generally accepted interpretation of the law and it appears to me to require revision.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

W S Traill
Captain
Superintending Engineer, W

111 Sew num 30/1/05 F 2686
F. pro. 9
1904-905
S. no: 2

From
M. S. Narayan Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To
B. K. Venkatavaradaiyar
Secretary to the Govt. of Mys
General and Rev: Depts

Dated 28th }
Despatched 29th } June 190.
Received

General No. 1061
No. 749
Enclosure No. —

With reference to para 8 of Govt. Cir. No. 3328-40 dated 20 May last, Legis 15-14 states that he concurs with the Comr in thinking that it would be desirable to have two forms of licenses one for big game and another for small game.

No 1061/749

Deputy Commrs Office
Shimoga District
28th-29th June 1905

From

Mr. S. Narayan Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

B. K. Venkatavaradaiyengar Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Rev: Depts

Sir

With reference to para 8 of Government Pro: No 3328-40 dated 20th May last Ligo 15-14 I have the honour to state that I concur in the Mr Carr in thinking that it would be desirable to have two forms of licenses one for big game and another for small game with a fee of Rs 30 and 10 respectively for the whole state

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant

For Deputy Commissioner

suggest

(a) that the present fee of Rupees 5 for shooting small game be extended to the whole province

(b) that a fee of Rs 15/. be levied for shooting big game in the District forests throughout the province and

(c) that a fee of Rs 25/- be levied for shooting in the State forests under the present condition

That in the cases of (a) and (b) the District Magistrate be authorized to grant licenses and that in the case of (c) that the District Magistrate and Conservator of forests be sufficient to sanction such licenses

As I see little use in the Inspector General of Police also being asked to countersign as it does no good and only delays matters.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H.H. Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner
PW Mysore District

Despd III annl 24/7/05

No. 31] MYSORE REVENUE SURVEY

G 213

F no 9

B. no. 4

1905

From The Survey Commr
in Mysore

To The Secretary to Govt
General & Revenue Depts

Dated 22nd July 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 15

Enclosure No.

Submitting the opinion called for in Govt. Proceedings No 3328-40 Legn 15-14 dated 20 May/05 on the working of the Mysore Game & Fish Preservation Regulation II of 1901 and the Rules thereunder and more especially on the two forms of licenses suggested by Mr. Carr.

MGCPB—1,000—4-10-04

No 15 Survey & Settlement
Commr's Office
Bangalore 22nd July
1905.

From

Colonel J P Grant
Survey & Settlement
Commissioner in Mysore

To

B K Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Gen and Rev Dept.

Sir

I have the honor to submit the opinion called for in Government Proceedings No 3328-40. Legis 15-14 dated 20th May 1905, on the working of the Mysore Game and Fish Preservation Regulation II of 1901 and the Rules thereunder and more especially on the suggestion made by Mr Carr to have two forms of licenses one for small game and one for Big game with fees of Rs 10. and Rs 30. respectively

2. I fully agree with Mr Carr only I would make the fees Rs 5 and Rs 20 respectively for small game and big game The great point however is to have one License for the whole State The following quotation from my letter No 299 of the 20th September 1904 to the Secretary General & Revenue Departments will show how I regard this last point

> "8 × × × × I will at once
> "come to my point and
> "ask whether considering
> "that the game Law is to
> "extend to the whole of
> "British India and that
> "the taking out of a license
> "is regarded as an intolerable
> "nuisance even by those wh

taken out in each District would throw some light on this point It would be very easy to compare results with the known facts in each District Penalties should also be classified If the Game law and its Rules so far as fees for licenses are concerned are to be other than a dead letter the Government must notify, so that all concerned, and especially Sportsmen may know how to obtain licenses and at what fees. The Proceedings furnish no information on the above points.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant

J. Hunt
Survey & Settlement
Commr. in Mysore

III Leg
sent
3/8/05

1905-06. J 312

F. No 9.
S. No. 5.

From
K. Doraswami Iyer Esq,
District Magistrate
of Tumkur

To B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esq
Secretary to the Government
H. H the Maharaja of Mysore
General & Revenue Deptts:

Dated 29th
Despatched 1-8-05 } July 1905.

Received

General No. 98
No.

Enclosure No.

Referring to para 8 of Government Proceeding No 3328-40 - Legis 15-14, dated 20th May last inviting un signed's opinion on the suggestion to have 2 forms of licenses under Mysore Game and Fish Preservati Regulation one for shooting small games and another for big games with a fee of Rs 10 and 30 respectively, undersigne is not in favor of either altering the nature of the license or the reduction of the fee. The present rates are very reasonable

Rangalu Chetty

for District Magistrate
of Tumkur

bf

Kunindur… 8 P.B

J 305

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 9 1, dated the 26/7/ 1905

J 3328-9 / Leg 13-14 (Legislation)

Legs

REPLY.

No. 6 *dated the* 31st July 1905

To.

The Honorary Secretary
Game & Fish Presn
Association
Sakleshpur

To

The Secretary to the Goernment of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Dept.

SUBJECT.

Requests him to favor Govt with his views on the suggestion of the Inspr General of Police to have the 2 separate forms of licenses for shooting small & big game

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

The undersigned is directed to invite attention to the marginally noted communication and to request that the General and Revenue Secretariat may be informed, in the column opposite, of the cause of delay in furnishing a reply and of the approximate date on which a reply may be expected.

No J 3328 dated 26/5/05

He is also to request that the General and Revenue Secretariat may be favoured with a reply to this reminder not later than the 6th Aug 05

By order
for Secretary

~~Previous reminder.~~

R. S. 45

This Association is in favor of t
Inspector Genl of Police's suggestion co
in para 8 of Govt Proceedings No 3328/Legs
of 20th May. Viz that 1 fee be cha
for shooting small game & 1 for la
game. Provided that this new
in no way interferes with the prese
nt licenses of Rs 5/ to shoot in eac
And we presume it refers to licens
shoot over the whole State
This Assoc would beg respectfull
point out that Snipe are not
& do not come under the R
as a game Reg.

Yours faithf
Thos Sta
Hony S.
M. G. F

III
2/8/05

Regd 10/8/05
and 11.8.05

397

190 F. no: 9
S. no: 75

From
The District Magistrate
of Kadur

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev Depts

Dated

Despatched 10th
10th August 05

Received

General No. 98
No.

Enclosure No. nil

Proposing that there should be two kinds of licenses in the District for shooting game

Camp Yedehally 10th August 1905

From

M. Shengiah Chetty Esq. B.A
District Magistrate
of Kadur

B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esqr
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore
General & Rev Depts

Sir,

With reference to the concluding portion of para. 8 of Government Proceedings No $\frac{3328-4}{\text{Leg } 15-14}$ dated 20th May 1905 I have the honor to state that I am of opinion that there should be two forms of game licenses, one for shooting small game, such as snipe duck hares etc and another for big game such as bison, sambh etc and that the fee for the same may be fixed at Rs 10/- and 30/- respectively for the whole State and Rs 5 and 10 for each District

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt servant

District Magistrate
of Kadur

111 Legs
Aug 8 05

J 426

190 5

F. No.:

S. No.: 8

From The District Magistrate
of Kolar

To The Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore Gl & Rev
Departments

Dated 12th August 1905

Despatched 16th do

Received

General No. 1309
No. 93

Enclosure No.

Reporting on the introduction
of 2 forms of licences for
small & big game

No 1309/93

Office of the District Magistrate
Kolar District
Dated 12th August 1905

F. no: 9
S. no: 8

From,
K. P. Puttannachetty Esqre
District Magistrate
Kolar District.

To,
B. K. Venkatavaradiengar Esqre
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore - General and
Revenue Departments

Sir,

Advertising to para 8 of Government Proceedings No 3328-40/Legis 15-14 dated 20th May 1905, I have the honor to state that the introduction of 2 forms of licenses, one for shooting small game such as snipe, duck hares &c, and another for big game, such as bison, sambhu &c, as proposed by the Inspector General of Police will, I think, be a desirable improvement This distinction in licenses will not only meet the requirements of European Sportsmen, but native shikarees and professional sellers of game who are unable to procure provincial licenses on account of the prohibitive fees will be benefitted thereby The small game is generally the object of their pursuit and the proposed bifurcation of licenses will place it in their power to obtain a license for small game at a moderate cost. The proposed fees of Rs: 10 and 30 respectively are reasonable.

2 I am not however in favor of introducing the same distinction in the case of local licenses i.e licenses covering a single District. The existing rules may continue for such licenses, the present fee of Rs. 5/- not being of a prohibitive nature.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

District Magistrate
Kolar District

iii Legis
31-8-05

/546
F. No: 9
S. No: 9

190 .

From
The District Magistrate
Chitaldrug District

To
The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Dated 29th } August 1905
Despatched
Received.

General No. 140
No.

Enclosure No

Submitting opinion regarding the adoption of double licences for Shooting Game in the State lands.

No 140 Encl

District Magistrate's Office
Chitaldrug District
Dated Chitaldrug 29th August 1905

From
H Ramanujaiengar Esq
District Magistrate
Chitaldrug District

To
B K. Venkatavaradiengar Esq
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir

Adverting to para 8 of Government Order No 3328-40/Deps 15-14 dated the 20th May 1905, passing orders on the reports of the District Magistrates &c on the working of the Mysore Game and Fish Preservation Regulation 1901 a the rules framed thereunder and calling fo an expression of opinion as regards the suggestion made by Mr. Carr that there should be 2 forms of licenses one for shooti small game and another for big game with corresponding license fees on a revised sc. I have the honor to state that the distinction

1905.

From
Mr J. Arbuthnott
Retired Head Master,
Chitaldrug

To
A Ramanujaiengar Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

Dated 26th August 1905

Despatched

Received.

General No. 26
No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to state with reference to his docket No. 117 of the 4th Instant that the proposal of the Inspector General of Police to have a 10 Rupee license for shooting small game like duck hare &c anywhere in the Province is fair and reasonable but a 30 Rupee license for shooting big game as bison Sambhur &c is excessive and may be reduced to Rs 25 or 20.

(Sd) J. Arbuthnott
Retired Head Master

Copy

A Ramanujiengar

Offg. District Magistrate

the Inspector General of Police to have two separate licenses for shooting small and big game fixing the fee for the former at Rs 10/- and for the latter at Rs 30/- for the whole State, I have the honour to state that printed spare copies of the said Proceedings were distributed to all the Assistant Commissioners of the District also to the Assistant Superintendent of Police, the District Forest Officer, the Honorary Secretary, Mysore Game and Fish Preservation Association and the Honorary Secretary of the South Mysore Planters Association; for communicating their views

On the subject

2 In their replies to the above communication both the General Assistant Commissioner and the Assistant Superintendent of Police state that they are in favour of having two forms of licenses with a fee of Rs 10/- and Rs 30/- for the whole State as suggested by the Inspector General of Police. The Treasury Assistant Commissioner while approving of two forms of licenses suggests that the fee may be fixed at Rs 25/- and Rs 50/- for small and big game with a view to make any such shooting really costly and prohibitive to ordinary

village folk. The Senior Assistant Commissioner of Saklaspur suggests that there may not be many applications in the Province and that if bird and animals falling under "small game" and those falling under big game are distinctly specified licenses may be issued fixing a reasonable fee per District. The Honourary Secretary of the Game and Fish Preservation Association states that the Association is in favour of two fees being charged one for shooting small game and one for shooting big game in the whole Province provided it does not interfere with the existing rule of a fee of Rs 5/- for shooting in each District. And he points out that "Snipe" is not classed as "game" under the Regulation. The District Forest Officer is of opinion that the single license system for both small and big game may be allowed to continue as heretofore, since sportsmen coming across big and small game either simultaneously or at different times are not likely to shoot at one and leave the other, and so the double license system would be a superfluity. Moreover if they obtain a single license either for small or big game and if they suddenly come across any game not included in the license, they would naturally shoot at the same forgetting the restrictions in their enthusiasm to do so, and it will not be easy for them at that time to procure another license even if they are prepared to pay for the same

# 3. From para 8 of the Government Proceedings under advertence I understand that the two forms of licenses viz one for shooting small game and one for shooting big game are proposed to be made applicable only to those who apply for game licenses to have effect throughout the Mysore State, and that the existing single game license. for shooting both classes of game on a fee of Rs 5/- in each District is not intended to be affected by Mr Carr's suggestion. If so, I see no objection to the adoption of the proposed fees of Rs 10/- for shooting small game and Rs 30/- for shooting big game throughout the Mysore State. I am not, however, in favour of any increase of the existing game license fee of Rs 5/- for each District, which, I am of opinion is quite

high

the fees of game licenses for shooting throughout the Mysore State rather than the present fee of Rs 5/- per District. As regards rewards to informants in cases of surreptitious shooting in which convictions are secured (as referred to at the same portion of the Government Proceedings) I beg to point out that there is provision under Section 10 of the Mysore Game and Fish Preservation Regulation for convicting Magistrates to award to informants the whole or any portion of any fine imposed or of any sum realised by the sale of property confiscated under the Regulation.

Hence it would be unnecessary to pay rewards to such informants from realisations from game license fees.

5. Under Government Proceedings No $\frac{15394\overset{\times}{-}404}{J1316}$ dated 17th March 1902 all game license fees have been required to be recovered by means of General Stamp papers upon which the applications should be written. In Clause (c) appended to para 7 of the present Proceedings of Government it seems doubtful whether the fee of Rs 5/- for each additional District may be received in cash: otherwise the concession allowable to "travelling sportsmen who

× vide flag U

visit more Districts than one is not apparent If the applicati has to be written on a Rs 5/– General Stamp paper and sent or presented to the District magistrate of each additiona District, it would be easy to issue a separate Game license for that District and would also be preferabl as compared with mere countersignature of the license granted by another District magistrate, so that the counterfoil in the game license Book would show the date and name of the person to whom such license is issued, as such counterfoils are often required for subsequen reference in compiling Return of Game licenses issued, and when prosecutions under the Game Regulation are undertaken by the Police or others in cases of shooting without game licenses or after expiration of old license.

6. The opinion of the South Mysore Planters Association was awaited for the purpose of being embodied in this reply to the Government Proceedings under advertence, but as the Honorary Secretary of that Association has not yet replied to my letter to him on the subject, although reminded, disposal of this reference cannot be longer deferred.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

JMW Wetherall
District Magistrate
Hassan

iii
[illegible]
3-10-05

J 809

(Hefs) 1905-6 F. No: 9
S. No: ~~10~~ 11

From

The Dist. Magistrate
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts

Dated 30

Despatched September 1905

Received.

General No.

S No: 311

Enclosure No.

---

ovt. Pro. $\frac{3328-40}{\text{Dep. 15. 14}}$ of 20.5.05.

Reports his view on the
uggestion of the Inspector
enl. of Police to have
o separate forms of
cence for shooting small
nd big game

S. 311

Bangalore District
District Magistrates Office
Bangalore 30 Sept/05.

From

D. Devaraj Urs Esq
District Magistrate
Bangalore District

To

B. K. Venkata Varadiengar Esq
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General & Revenue Departts

Sir

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 3328-40 / Leg 15-14 dated 20 May last calling for my opinion on the suggestion of the Inspector General of Police in the matter of issuing two separate forms of licenses for shooting small and big games I have the honor to state that the suggestions of the Inspector General of Police may be brought to effect after clearly defining in Sec. 2 of the Game Regulation what are small games and what are big games

As regards the fees, I suggest the following Scale:—

In small games in the District - Rs 5%.
In big games — Do ——— - 15%.
In small games in the Province - 10%.
In big games — Do ——— 25%.

I consider this would meet the interests of all parties.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt. Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
& Dist. Magistrate.

G.R.S. 28]

Legve
File No. 9

Serial No. 12

Diary No. of letter replied to ——

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Legislative)

the 190

Date of issue 18/12/05

Signed by Mr. B Ramaswamy Iyengar

READ.—

Para 8 of Govt. Procgs No. 3328-40/Leg 15.14 dated the 20th May 1905, ~~Calling upon~~ requesting the ~~District~~ Magistrates of Districts, the Game & Fish Preservation Assocn., Col Grant and Capt Tyacke to favor Govt. with their

O~~RDER THEREON. No~~.

views ~~on~~ on the suggestion of Mr. F. L. Clan, Inspector General of Police to ~~issue~~ prescribe 2 forms of license, one for shooting small game and another for shooting big game.

2. The following letters ~~have~~ ~~ha~~ received in reply to the above:—

over

SUBJECT

1) ~~Letter~~ No. 2578 dated the 28th June 05 from Captain W. S. Drall, Ch. Supg. Engineer W.C.

2) ~~Letter~~ No. 1061/749 of the ~~[illegible]~~ 28th June 05 from the District Magte of Shimoga

3) ~~Letter~~ No. 13 of the 6th July 05, from D. M. Mysore

4) 15 of the 22nd July 05, from the Survey Commr in Mysore

5) 58 of the 29th July 05, from D. M. Tumkur

6) 6 of the 31st do. from the Honorary Secy, Mysore Game & Fish Presn Association

7) 58 of the 10th Aug 05 from D. M. Kadur

8) 1039/53 of the 12th do. from D. M. Kolar

9) 140 of the 29th do do. Chitaldrug

10) 279/5 of the 5th Sep 05. do Hassan

11) S 311 of 30th do do Bangalore

Order Memo. — G 1700-12

In connection with the reports on the working of the Game Regulation and Rules,

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

~~In~~ fact, the Inspector General of Police, ~~made the suggestion~~ suggested that instead of uniform licenses in respect of Game of all kinds, there might be 2 different forms for the whole State, according as they covered the shooting ~~for the whole State~~ of small or big game; the former bearing a fee of Rs 10 and the latter a fee of Rs 30. Thereupon ~~It seemed to Government that there was something to be said in favour of the suggestion and~~ the views ~~thereon~~ of the Distt Magistrates ~~local officers~~ and others competent to express an opinion on the subject were invited.

2. The opinions received show, on the whole, a disposition to welcome the change proposed, with reservations in some cases on points of detail. Nevertheless, the discussion as read ~~above~~ has not touched a few most important objections that may be urged against the proposal.

3. In the first place, the proposal would necessitate persons with limited means, content to pursue big game within the limits of a

District paying more than they ~~would have to~~ pay under the existing rules. In the second place it ~~would~~ is almost ~~be~~ impossible to exercise anything like effective check over the operation of the suggested rule. At present, it is only necessary to examine whether a sportsman possesses a licence, but according to the proposed rule, it ~~would~~ will ~~will also~~ be necessary to examine the game secured by him. ~~A special establishment probably costing much more than the sum realized from game licenses will be necessary for working the proposal.~~ Further, the natural instinct of a keen sportsman not to miss big game coming in his way ~~will~~ would be too strong to be resisted by the circumstance that he holds licence only for small game, and a wide door ~~to~~ for ~~fraud will~~ evasion of rules would, thus, in many cases, be opened by the alteration indicated.

4. In these circumstances the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja consider that the existing system of issuing separate licenses for shooting game in a District and throughout the State and the different rates of fees prescribed

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

the expr ~~[illegible]~~ do not require any modification

Print

15-12-1905

Secretary

To The Inspector General of Police in Burma

The District Magistrates of [illegible]

The Conservator of Forests in Burma

The Asst. Secy, Finance & [illegible] Progr Asst.

Col J B Grant Survey & [illegible] in Burma

Capt W. S. [illegible] R.E. Supt [illegible]

MS 14/12/05

15.12.05

Copied by 16.12.05

Examined 16/12/05